

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**JUN 19 2006** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

POLO TOWERS MASTER OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

FACTORY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 04-16623

D.C. No. CV-03-01065-JCM

**MEMORANDUM**\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Nevada

James C. Mahan, District Judge, Presiding

Argued and Submitted June 14, 2006 San Francisco, California

Before: SCHROEDER, Chief Judge, GRABER, Circuit Judge, and DUFFY,\*\*
District Judge.

Plaintiff Polo Towers Master Owners Association, Inc., appeals from a summary judgment granted in favor of Defendant Factory Mutual Insurance

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> The Honorable Kevin Thomas Duffy, Senior Judge, United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, sitting by designation.

Company. On de novo review, <u>Padfield v. AIG Life Ins. Co.</u>, 290 F.3d 1121, 1124 (9th Cir. 2002), we hold that Plaintiff's loss is excluded from coverage under the "contamination" provision of the insurance policy. That provision is not ambiguous, <u>see United Nat'l Ins. Co. v. Frontier Ins. Co.</u>, 99 P.3d 1153, 1157 (Nev. 2004) (holding that the courts may not rewrite unambiguous insurance contract provisions), and it includes the high level of *legionella* bacteria in the water. The loss did not result directly from "covered" physical damage because the "faulty workmanship" exclusion applies.

AFFIRMED.